| LECTRONICALLY RECEIVED - 7/30/2024 1:39 PM - By: Nicole Alvarez, DEPUTY | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | | FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CIVIL DIVISION |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | OCT 23 7074 By: Stephanie Reed |
| 4 | By:Reed Stephanie Reed, Deputy | |
| 5 | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 6 | SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN BERNARDINO | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | NAHRAIN SMITH, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, | Case No.: CIVSB2308055 |
| 10 | | Assigned for All Purposes to Hon, Jessica E. Morgan; Dept. S-26 Christian Towns |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | Morgan; Dept. S-26 |
| 12 | V. | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL TO CLASS |
| 13 | | AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT |
| 14 | CHG MEDICAL STAFFING, INC. DBA RNNETWORK; and DOES 1-20, inclusive | |
| 15 | | Hearing Date: October 8, 2024 |
| 16 | Defendants. | Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m. |
| 17 | | Department: S-26 |
| 18 | | Action Filed: April 20, 2023 |
| 19 | | Trial Date: Not Set |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | - 1 - |
| I | 77196433;1 | |

The Court has before it Plaintiff Nahrain Smith's ("Plaintiff") Unopposed Motion for
Preliminary Approval of Class and Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") Representative Action
Settlement with Defendant CHG Medical Staffing, Inc. dba RNnetwork ("Defendant"). Unless
otherwise specified, all capitalized terms in this Order shall have the same meaning ascribed to them
in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement" or "Agreement").

6

The Court hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

7 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement. The Settlement falls 8 within the range of possible approval as fair, adequate and reasonable, and appears to be the product 9 of arm's-length and informed negotiations and to treat all Class Members and Aggrieved Employees fairly. Continued litigation would have been expensive for both sides. The Parties acknowledge that 10 11 litigating and trying this action may have resulted in delay of any recovery, involved significant risk 12 as to liability and certification, and an ongoing (and potentially further) appeals. Class Counsel 13 received the relevant information for the Class. Plaintiff has adequately demonstrated that the 14 Settlement did not occur until Plaintiff and Class Counsel possessed sufficient information to 15 evaluate the case and make an informed decision about settlement. The Settlement sets out the terms upon which Defendant will settle all claims which have been brought against it in this matter. 16

17 2. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the allocation of funds in settlement for 18 releasing the Released Parties from the Released Class Claims falls within the range of 19 reasonableness and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court 20 preliminarily accepts the Parties' definition of the Class, for settlement purposes only, which is as 21 follows:

All of Defendant's non-exempt employees who were assigned to work at any facility inside California from January 31, 2019 through August 5, 2024, with the exception of all individuals who are members of the class certified in *Carlino v. CHG Med. Staffing, Inc.*, E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:17-cv-01323-DAD-JLT.

24 25

26

22

23

3. The Court conditionally certifies and approves, for settlement purposes only, and without prejudice to any Party's rights to support or oppose class certification should the Settlement not receive final approval by this Court, the Class described in this Order, the Motion for Preliminary Approval, and the Agreement.

28

27

A subset of the Class that is defined as the "Aggrieved Employees" in the 4. 1 Agreement will be entitled to receive a portion of the "PAGA Penalties" under the terms of the 2 Agreement, in settlement of their PAGA claims. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the 3 allocation of funds in releasing the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims falls within the 4 range of reasonableness and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court 5 preliminarily accepts the Parties' definition of the Aggrieved Employees, for settlement purposes 6 7 only, which is as follows: All of Defendant's non-exempt employees who were assigned to work at any 8 facility inside California from February 1, 2022 through August 5, 2024. 9 The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Nahrain Smith as the 5. 10 Class Representative. 11 The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Ashkan Shakouri and Sharon Lin 6. 12 of Shakouri Law Firm as counsel for the Class ("Class Counsel"). 13 7. The Parties shall retain the services of CPT Group for the administration of the 14 Settlement, and said entity is hereby appointed Administrator. 15 As described in the Agreement, Defendant shall provide the Administrator with the 8. 16 Class Data, as specified in the Agreement, no later than 15 calendar days after the date of entry of this 17 Order. As described in the Agreement, by no later than 10 calendar days after receiving the Class 18 Data, the Administrator shall provide notice of settlement ("Class Notice") to all Class Members by 19 first class U.S. mail to their last known address according to the information that Defendant will 20provide to the Administrator pursuant to the Agreement. The Class Notice shall substantively be in 21 the form lodged as Exhibit A to the Agreement. The Court finds that the content and schedule of the 22 mailings discussed in this Order meet the requirements of due process, provide the best notice 23 practicable, and will constitute sufficient notice to Class Members. 24 Class Members may exclude themselves from the Class, relinquishing their rights to 9 25 their Individual Class Payments (but not their Individual PAGA Payments) by sending to the 26 Administrator by U.S. mail a written "Request for Exclusion," as described in the Class Notice. 27 Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, all Class Members will be bound by the Agreement and its 28 release unless they timely file a proper Request for Exclusion. A Class Member who opts out of the - 3 -

Settlement will not release his or her claims pursuant to the Agreement, except for Released PAGA 1 2 Claims, as defined in the Agreement, whether or not he or she opts out of the Settlement.

3

Any Class Member who has not opted out and believes that the Settlement should 10. not be finally approved by the Court for any reason may object to the Settlement. Class Members' 4 5 written objections to the Administrator must be mailed not later than 45 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice to them. Class Members may also appear in person or through an attorney, if 6 they so desire, at the Final Approval Hearing to make their objection orally, regardless of whether 7 they have submitted written objections to the Settlement. 8

The Court sets a Hearing on Final Approval for 4/23/202, at 9 11. 8:21 (a.m)/p.m. in Department S-26 of the Superior Court of the State of California, 10 County of San Bernardino, located at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. Plaintiff 11 must file a Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement, including any Application for Attorneys' 12 Fees, Costs, and Expenses and Class Representative Service Payment, with this Court 16 court days 13 before said hearing. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing 14 without further notice to Class Members. 15

All further proceedings in this action will be stayed except such proceedings 16 12. necessary to review, approve, and implement this Settlement. 17

Neither this Order nor the Agreement, nor any of their terms or provisions, nor any 18 13. of the negotiations or proceedings connected with them, shall be construed as an admission or 19 concession by Defendant of the truth of any of the allegations in this litigation, or of any liability, 20 21 fault, or wrongdoing of any kind.

In the event: (i) the Court does not finally approve the Settlement in a manner 22 14. contemplated by the Agreement; (ii) the Court does not enter a Final Approval Order and Judgment, 23 as contemplated by the Settlement, which becomes final as a result of the occurrence of the Effective 24 Date (as that term is defined by the Settlement); or (iii) the Settlement does not become final for any 25 other reason, the Settlement and any related Class shall be null and void and any order or judgment 26 entered by this Court in furtherance of the Settlement shall be deemed as void from the beginning. In 27 such a case, the Parties and any funds to be awarded under this Settlement shall be returned to their 28

respective statuses as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of the Settlement, and
the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if no Class had been certified and the Settlement
Agreement had not been executed.

15. Neither the Settlement, preliminarily approved or not, nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered hereunder, nor any statement, transaction or proceeding in connection with the negotiation, execution or implementation of the Settlement, shall be admissible in evidence for any reason, except as provided in the Settlement or to enforce the releases contained therein. The Court has made no findings on the merits and Defendant has denied the allegations in the operative complaint.

10 16. The Court hereby grants preliminary approval of the Settlement as of the date of this11 Order.

- 5 -

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 -

12

13

14

Dated: 10/23/2024 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

HON. JESSICA L. MORGAN Christian Towns JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

77196433;1

28